

Information Categorization for Canopy Mapping using Quality Control (QC) Tool – Affinity Diagram (KJ Method)

Nishant

Abstract: Canopy mapping involves the process of accurately delineating and assessing the distribution and extent of green spaces, trees, and vegetation within a designated area. Understanding the canopy's characteristics holds paramount importance, as it plays a critical role in supporting biodiversity, regulating microclimates, and mitigating the adverse impacts of urbanization on the environment. This research paper focuses on exploring the practical application of the KJ method and collaborative brainstorming to gather and organize relevant information for canopy mapping. The study engaged six undergraduate students, under the guidance of a faculty member specialized in geoinformatics, in a productive brainstorming session, generating twenty-one diverse ideas related to canopy mapping. Through a methodical process of iterative refinement and consensus-building, the students effectively grouped these ideas into four distinct categories: "Data Sources," "Canopy Estimation Process," "Canopy Map Development," and "Accuracy Assessment." The resulting Affinity Diagram served as a clear and well-structured representation of the research paper's key aspects, harnessing the collective intelligence of the team to organize complex information and facilitate the precise mapping of tree canopies. This collaborative approach proved instrumental in enhancing the project's efficiency and effectiveness, promoting a cooperative environment that fosters innovation and informed decision-making.

Keywords: Canopy Mapping, KJ Method, QC Tools, Brainstorming, Affinity Diagram

I. INTRODUCTION

A canopy is the uppermost layer of a forest or vegetation, consisting of dense foliage and interconnected branches that form a protective cover over the lower layers. Canopy mapping employs remote sensing methods such as satellite imagery to gather intricate information about distant vegetation [3][8][9][10]. This data undergoes processing to differentiate plants from other objects and categorize various plant species. The resultant maps offer valuable understandings into ecological trends, land utilization, and environmental transformations. These insights support well-informed choices in urban planning and the sustainable management of natural resources.

Manuscript received on 25 August 2023 | Revised Manuscript received on 31 August 2023 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 October 2023 | Manuscript published on 30 October 2023.

*Correspondence Author(s)

Nishant*, Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Kumaraguru College of Technology (KCT), Coimbatore E-mail: nishant.s.ce@kct.ac.in, ORCID ID: 0009-0009-7076-7286

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

The Affinity diagram, also known as the KJ Method, is a collaborative tool for organizing and analysing a plethora of ideas or data points [7]. Participants generate ideas, which are written on sticky notes and then grouped into related categories. These categories are labelled to capture their essence. By physically arranging these notes on a surface, the diagram visually represents the relationships between ideas, enabling insights into patterns and themes. This technique fosters collaboration, aids decision-making, and enhances understanding of complex information through its intuitive visual representation [7].

The literature review unveils the following findings. The utilization of quality tools like the Pareto Chart, Affinity Diagram, and Spider Plot Diagram has resulted in the improvement of product quality [1]. Through the integration of the CKP algorithm and the KJ method, a comprehensive understanding of reoccurring construction issues is achieved, allowing for their classification into distinct categories and contributing to the improvement of bridge construction quality [4][11]. The Affinity Diagram systematically categorizes the causes of underemployment among Engineering Graduates into six groups, serving as an enlightening resource for current undergraduates to make informed decisions for improving their career prospects and employability [2]. The Kansei-Based KJ Method offers a fresh approach to comprehend employees' affective experiences, mitigating self-reporting drawbacks, and providing a replicable process for understanding emotional states and Counterproductive Work Behaviour (CWB) in organizational contexts [5]. Reflecting on a decade's experience, the affinity diagram process has been adapted for HCI and interaction design evaluations into four stages: note creation, clustering, wall interaction, and documentation, highlighting the value of traditional paper affinity diagrams in collaborative analysis despite the convenience of digital formats [6]. This paper aims to apply the KJ method to a canopy mapping project. The project team includes a project faculty member specializing in geoinformatics and six thirdyear students from the civil engineering department. A brainstorming session was conducted with the students to gather information about canopy mapping, and the received inputs were categorized under relevant topics to establish the project's activity sequence. This research paper is divided into three sections. The first part comprises the introduction and literature review, followed by the second part, which presents the discussions and results. The third part concludes the paper with final remarks. and Advanced Technology

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

S Permon lenothernament

. ww.iieat.org

Exploring Innovation

Information Categorization for Canopy Mapping using Quality Control (QC) Tool – Affinity Diagram (KJ Method)

II. DISCUSSIONS AND RESULTS

The aim of this study is to gather and categorize information on canopy mapping from project students in order to establish the activity sequence for the project.

Phase – 1: Gathering Credible Information for Creating an Affinity Diagram

The initial stage involves providing an introduction of canopy mapping to the project students, followed by formulating a problem statement, "How can we achieve precise tree canopy mapping?" to proceed with the Affinity Diagram. The commonly employed technique for generating ideas is brainstorming, and it serves as the primary method used in this study. To facilitate idea generation, a brainstorming session was conducted with six project students under the guidance of the project faculty. During the session, students were encouraged to actively participate, resulting in the generation of a total of twenty-one diverse ideas. The project faculty meticulously recorded all the ideas on a board. The information gathered during this session is presented in "Table 1: Scramble Information."

Table 1: Scramble Information on Canopy Mapping (by Project Students)

Point No.	Information on Canopy Mapping by students
1	Satellite images
2	Manual digitization
3	Photograph from elevated surface
4	Ground measurement
5	Confusion matrix
6	Map to ground scale
7	Total Station
8	Drones
9	Canopy Density
10	Measuring tapes
11	Canopy polygons
12	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI)
13	Reference with old maps
14	Supervised classification
15	Cadastral maps
16	Global Positioning System (GPS)
17	North Arrow
18	GIS Analysis
19	Aerial photographs
20	Unsupervised classification
21	Google Earth Pro

Phase -2: Forming an Affinity Diagram by categorizing the collected credible information.

The information collected on canopy mapping appears disorganized and challenging to comprehend due to its scattered nature. Consequently, the process of categorizing this information into distinct groups will facilitate a clearer and more coherent understanding of the subject matter. By grouping related ideas together, patterns and connections can be identified, allowing for a more structured and organized representation of the data. This categorization will aid in discerning common themes and key insights, enabling a better grasp of the overall picture and improving the effectiveness of the analysis. The ideas generated during the brainstorming session are individually categorized by each of the six project students based on their utility. The groups formed by the students are then subjected to a refining process, where they provide feedback and make adjustments to each other's groupings until a consensus is reached among all participants. After this collaborative refinement, each category of information is assigned a title using an adapted brainstorming process. This involves brainstorming potential titles for each group, considering their common themes and main characteristics, to create meaningful and descriptive labels that accurately represent the contents of each category. The iterative nature of this process ensures that the final categorization and titles capture the essence of the information and enhance the clarity and understanding of the data for the entire project team. The process of categorization has led to the emergence of four distinct categories, namely "Data Sources," "Canopy Estimation Process," "Canopy Map Development," and "Accuracy Assessment." These categories were derived from the grouping exercise, where the project students collaboratively organized the gathered information based on their similarities and relevance to each other. The outcomes of this categorization process are visually represented in "Figure 1: Affinity Diagram," showcasing the relationships between the ideas within each category and providing a clear and organized overview of the canopy mapping project's key aspects. The Affinity Diagram serves as a valuable tool to better comprehend the interconnectedness of the information and aids in guiding the subsequent phases of the project based on the insights obtained from this comprehensive analysis.





Fig. 1: Affinity Diagram (Ordered information on Canopy Mapping)



Retrieval Number:100.1/ijeat.A42911013123 DOI: 10.35940/ijeat.A4291.1013123 Journal Website: www.ijeat.org



III. CONCLUSIONS

The canopy mapping project employed the KJ method and the power of collaborative brainstorming to gather and organize valuable information on canopy mapping.

The process involved facilitating a brainstorming session with six project students, resulting in the generation of twenty-one diverse ideas. Through iterative refinement and consensus-building, four meaningful categories were established: "Data Sources," "Canopy Estimation Process," "Canopy Map Development," and "Accuracy Assessment." The Affinity Diagram created from this categorization provided a clear and coherent representation of the project's critical aspects, fostering a deeper understanding of the complex relationships between the ideas. This visualization served as a guide for further project development and decision-making. By utilizing the collective intelligence of the project team, the study successfully transformed scattered and unclear information into a structured and actionable plan. The comprehensive insights gained from the Affinity Diagram will enable the project team to navigate the canopy mapping project with clarity and efficiency. The categorized information and well-defined categories will serve as a foundation for informed decision-making, ensuring the project's success in accurately mapping tree canopies and contributing to the broader field of geoinformatics and environmental studies.

AKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Principal and management of KCT for their continuous support and encouragement in my research. My heartfelt thanks go to Dr. S. Bhaskar, my esteemed mentor, whose guidance and insights have been instrumental in shaping this research paper. I extend my appreciation to the six diligent civil engineering students who actively engaged in the brainstorming sessions, offering valuable contributions that enriched the content and direction of this study.

DECLARATION STATEMENT

Funding/ Grants/ Financial Support	No, I did not receive.	
Conflicts of Interest/ Competing Interests	No conflicts of interest to the best of our knowledge.	
Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate	No, the article does not require ethical approval and consent to participate with evidence.	
Availability of Data and Material/ Data Access Statement	Not relevant.	
Authors Contributions	I am only the sole author of the article	

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S., Mohamed, H., Minhawy, A. H. E., & Zaher, A. (2022). Application of Quality Control Tools in Carpet Industry: A Case Study. Trends in Sciences, 19(14), 5095-5095. https://doi.org/10.48048/tis.2022.5095
- Bhaskar, S. (2019) "A Research on Causes of Underemployment of Engineering Graduates through Quality Control (QC) Tool - Affinity Diagram (KJ Method)". In International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (Vol. 8, Issue 6S, pp. 531–533). https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.F1107.0886S19

- Blodgett, C., Jakubauskas, M., Price, K., & Martinko, E. (2000, May). Remote sensing-based geostatistical modeling of forest canopy structure. In ASPRS 2000 Annual Conference, Washington, DC, May (Vol. 2226).
- Cheng, Ying-Mei, and Sou-Sen Leu. (2011) "Integrating data mining with KJ method to classify bridge construction defects." Expert Systems with Applications 38.6: 7143-7150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2010.12.047
- Ibrahim, N. H., Mohamed Makhbul, Z. K., Ayob, A. H., Nagamachi, M., & Lokman, A. M. (2022). Conceptualising Kansei in Affective Work Events Counterproductive Work Behaviour Model. In 9th International Conference on Kansei Engineering and Emotion Research. KEER2022. Proceedings (pp. 171-182). https://doi.org/10.5821/conference-9788419184849.16
- Lucero, A. (2015). Using affinity diagrams to evaluate interactive prototypes. In Human-Computer Interaction—INTERACT 2015: 15th IFIP TC 13 International Conference, Bamberg, Germany, September 14-18, 2015, Proceedings, Part II 15 (pp. 231-248). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-22668-2
- Scupin, Raymond. (1997) "The KJ method: A technique for analyzing data derived from Japanese ethnology." Human organization 56.2: 233-237. https://doi.org/10.17730/humo.56.2.x335923511444655
- Nagaraju*, T., & Suneetha, Dr. Ch. (2019). Distributed Framework for Processing High Resolution Remote Sensing Images. In International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (Vol. 9, Issue 1, pp. 4287–4292). Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Engineering and Sciences Publication - BEIESP. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.j9976.109119
- V E, S., Moorthy, U., Park, J., Shin, C., & Cho*, Y. (2019). Internet Role in Remote Sensing and Geo Informatics System. In International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (Vol. 9, Issue 2, pp. 57–64). Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Engineering and Sciences Publication - BEIESP. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.a4859.129219
- J, P., & S., Dr. A. (2021). Shoreline Change Detection from Cuddalore to Nagapattinam Coast, Tamil Nadu. In International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) (Vol. 10, Issue 3, pp. 188–191). Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Engineering and Sciences Publication BEIESP. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.c6476.0910321
- Pritee, K., & Garg, R. D. (2022). Criticality Trend Analysis Based on Different Types of Accidents using Data Mining Approach. In Indian Journal of Data Mining (Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp. 1–14). Lattice Science Publication (LSP). https://doi.org/10.54105/ijdm.c1618.051322

AUTHOR PROFILE



Mr. Nishant is a Civil Engineering faculty at Kumaraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore, with 2.5 years of research on ISRO projects. He holds an M.Tech in Remote Sensing & GIS from National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal and a B.Tech in Civil Engineering from Veltecth, Chennai. His research interests span Urban Heat, Flood Mapping, Water

Resources, and RS & GIS applications. Notable for contributions to ISRO projects, his work bridges theory and practical solutions for urban challenges. With expertise in RS & GIS, Nishant contributes significantly to informed urban planning, environmental conservation, and disaster management, showcasing his commitment to sustainable development.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)/ journal and/or the editor(s). The Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering
and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)
© Copyright: All rights reserved.

