# International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT) ISSN: 2249-8958 (Online), Volume-8 Issue-2S, December 2018 Enhanced Curvlet Transform based Artificial Neural Network for Brain Tumor Diagnosis

M. Venkata Ramana, E. Sreenivasa Reddy, CH. Satayanarayana

Abstract: Brain tumor is one of the health problems faced by human beings. It often leads to death of people. Detecting it early can help in taking treatment and improve quality of life. The detection has to be made with MRI brain tumor images. Fourier transform, wavelet transform, Ridgelet transform and Curvelet transform are the techniques exist for representing images. Fourier transform can represent signals with only frequency domain and information on temporal domain is missing. To overcome this drawback, wavelet transform is used which can represent signal using wavelets in both time and frequency domains. However, wavelets are not good for images with different angles and different scales. Ridgelets could handle images with line singularities but could not handle images with curves. Curvlet transform can overcome this problem besides representing images with different scales and different angles. Curvlet Transform (CT) with enhancements can support dynamic texture classification for detection of brain tumor. Thus in this paper Enhanced CT (ECT) is used to have better diagnosis of brain tumor. A framework with underlying algorithms based on ECT is designed and implemented. A prototype application is built using MATLAB to demonstrate proof of the concept. The empirical results revealed that the proposed method has significant performance improvement over state of the art approaches.

Index Terms – Curvlet transform, enhanced curvelet transform, brain tumor detection framework

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Brain tumor is one of the major concerns and causes of death in the world. Uncontrolled growth of tissues in human brain causes brain tumor. It is a life-threatening disease. World Health Organization (WHO) classified brain and central nervous system tumors into 120 categories. Broadly they are divided into two types known as benign and malignant. Human brain is captured with Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) technology [8]. Thus MRI images are widely used in the research of brain tumor detection. There are different grades in brain tumor based on the severity of disease. The four rows of brain images in Figure 1 show the grades. The first row images reflect grade I disease. The second row images show the grade II disease. Similarly third row shows grade III and fourth row indicates existence of grade IV tumor respectively.

# Manuscript published on 30 December 2018.

#### \* Correspondence Author (s)

M. Venkata Ramana, Assistant professor, Department of CSE, GITAM Visakahapatanam. (deemed be university), (Email: to mvramana.gitam@gmail.com)

E. Sreenivasa Reddy, Department of CSE, Nagarjuna University, Guntur. (Email: esreddy67@gmail.com)

CH. Satayanarayana, Department of CSE, JNTUK University, Kakinada, (Email: chsatyanarayana@yahoo.com)

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Figure 1: MRI images showing different grades of brain tumor

In the grade I, the tumor cells are growing slowly. However, they appear like normal or benign. When it moves to grade II, III and IV, it is understood that the severity of disease is increased and the level of malignancy is more. Malignant tumor is growing faster in grade IV. MRI technology is powerful in producing images of human organs. Visual interpretation of tumors in human brain with the help of MRI imagery is known to doctors. However, doing it manually is error-prone and it needs automated and computer-aided approaches. Towards this end many automated techniques came into existence.

Of late curvelet transform technology in image processing showed its utility in different applications. Curvelet transform can support non-adaptive representation of objects in images. Moreover, it is best used for images with different edges. Thus it became an ideal candidate for processing images to have accurate detection of tumors or any such objects. This technology is used for brain tumor detection as explored in [1], [5], [6], [16] and [19]. However, an effective framework that contributes more to the detection of brain tumor based on enhanced curvelet transform is still desired. To overcome this drawback, we proposed a framework known as Curvlet Transform and ANN based Brain Tumor Detection (CTANN-BTD). Our contributions are as follows.

• We proposed a framework known as CTANN-BTD which exploits curvelet transform and ANN techniques for diagnosis of brain tumor disease using MRI images.

• Algorithms are proposed to achieve feature extraction, feature selection and efficient classification leading to diagnosis of brain tumor with an automated approach.

• A prototype application is built using MATLAB for evaluating the proposed framework.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 reviews literature on brain tumor detection techniques. Section 3 presents the proposed framework for brain tumor diagnosis. Section 4 presents experimental setup and evaluation methodology. Section 5 presents



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

experimental results while section 6 concludes the paper and provides possibilities of future scope of the research.

# 2. RELATED WORK

This section provides review of literature on brain tumor detection methods and the utility of curvlet transform for the same. Pandian and Balasubramanian [1] explored different classifiers like ELM and DNN for image texture classification. They employed techniques like local ternary patterns, contourlet and curvlet. MRI images are used for brain tumor detection. Similar kind of work is found in [16]. Bhateja et al. [2] on the other hand investigated maximum selection rule and PCA in curvelet domain for medical image fusion. This method was intended for medical diagnosis. Salcedo-Sanz et al. [3] explored Support Vector Machines (SVM) for diagnosis of brain tumor. The combination of Curvlet Transform and SVM are used for classification of brain tumor images in [6] with texture features known as Grey Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM). Curvlet transform is used for radar signal processing in [7]. Srinivas et al. [4] explored dictionary learning concept to retrieve medical images effectively. They defined an algorithm known as Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP). IRMA is the dataset used.

Varsha and Shyry [5] employed Neural Netowrk (NN) for detecting brain tumor. Enhancement approaches in frequency and spatial domain and de-noising in wavelet domain are used in [8] for detecting tumor in MRI brain images. Fuzzy C Means (FCM) and NN are employed in [9] using Gray Level Run Length Matrix (GLRLM). On the other hand Sheela and Babu [10] used combination of Fractional PSO and Fuzzy Bisector based K-Means with MRI images. Different techniques like PCA, NN and DCT are used in [11] for diagnosis of brain tumor. Curvlet Transform (CT) and an enhanced FCM are used for segmentation of tumor in MRI images [12]. Different methods of detecting tumor are reviewed in [13], [18], [22] while combination of CT and Wavelet image fusion is employed in [14] along with NN. Curvelet domain with PCA is used for image fusion in [15]. Pradeep et al. [17] explored CT and MRI images for segmentation to detect tumors. Curvelet transform and ANN are used in [19] while a comparative study of different techniques is found in [20].

Genetic Algorithm (GA) with image fusion is employed in [21]. Curvelet transform and compressive sensing for noise removal is explored in [23]. Curvelet transform and relative assessment approaches are used in [25] for de-noising remote sensed imagery. 3D Discrete Transform is explored in [26] while curvelet representation of wave propagators is examined in [27]. Continuous curvelet transform is studied in [28]. Fast discrete curvelet transform [29], tight frames of curvelets [30], operators of curvelets and Fourier integral [31], multiscale transforms [32], recognition of dynamic textures with curvelet transform [33] are different approaches used to work with images. Different applications using curvlet transform is studied in [34]. Both curvelet and wavelet transforms are employed with fusion approach in [35] for brain tumor detection.

Classification of hyper spectral imaging (HSI) is done using curvelet transform in [36]. Contrast sensitivity is explored using wavelet and curvlet transform techniques in [37]. Noise suppression is made using curvlet transform in air borne magnetic data [38]. Fault diagnosis [39], fusion of multi-spectral and panchromatic images for high quality [40], fibronectin variants classification [41], object detection in video using curvlet transform [42] and PCA and curvlet transform for image fusion [43] are other utilities found in the literature on curvlet transform technique. From the review of literature it is understood that brain tumor detection is very active area of research. However, curvlet transform based solutions are less and an effective approach based curvlet transform is highly desired. It is the endeavour of this paper.

### **3. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK**

We proposed a framework for effectively detecting brain tumor automatically. It is a computer aided approach in which different techniques are employed. MRI images are taken as dataset and it is subjected to pre-processing. In the pre-processing phase, the MRI images are divided into training and testing sets. The training set is a collection of brain images that are labelled. These images are used for training a classifier. Testing images are the brain images that are not yet classified and in other words, they are not labelled. The framework is known as Curvelet Transform based Artificial Neural Network for Brain Tumor Detection (CTANN-BTD). Figure 2 illustrates the functionalities involved in the framework.



# Figure 2: Overview of Curvelet Transform based ANN for Brain Tumor Detection (CTANN-BTD) framework

After pre-processing the training set is subjected to feature extraction. Feature extraction is very important to complete the research on ECT based ANN for classification. Enhanced Curvlet Transform described in Section 3.1 is used for feature extraction. Once features are extracted, it is essential to identify best features for improving accuracy of classification. It helps in finding the features that are highly relevant to the task in hand or the given objective. It is achieved by using PCA described in Section 3.2. After obtaining features, these features are given to ANN classifier described in Section 3.3 for training purpose. ANN classifier is learned and a knowledge model or brain tumor detection model is created. So far, this process is done offline. Once the detection model is ready, then online process of detection of brain tumor is carried out. It takes testing set as input and

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.





classifies it into normal and abnormal. Precisely, this is the functionality of the framework. More details are provided in the following sub sections.

# **3.1** EnhancedCurvelet Transform (ECT) for Feature Extraction

Enhanced curvlet transform is employed to obtain coefficients of brain tumor images. The coefficients form as descriptor for such images. In other words, ECT results in a novel descriptor of images. Curvlet coefficients obtained from image are represented as in Eq. 1.

$$C^{D}(j,l,k) = \sum D \frac{sm < M}{Dsn < N}, F[M,N] \varphi^{D}_{j,l,k}[m,n]$$

(1)

Where,  $\varphi_{j,l,k}^{D}[m, n]$  is known as curvlet mother function.

Location parameters are denoted as k, orientation is represented by l and scale is denoted by j. The curvlet transform coefficients are computed as follows. Fourier samples are obtained from image using 2D FFT. Product of Fourier samples of image and digital curvelet of Fourier domain is made. Then wrapping of the product is made to have rectangular support. On the wrapped result, inverse 2 D FFT is applied. The result of ECT is to have curvlet coefficients at given scale and orientation. Curvelab toolbox is used to in order to complete the feature extraction process. Feature extraction algorithm is as follows.

Algorithm: ECT based Feature Extraction (ECT-FE) Input: Brian images dataset D Output: Feature Descriptor Vector FD 1. For each image img in D

- 1. For each image img in
- 2. Apply ECT on img
- 3. Compute curvelet coefficients for different scale and orientations
- 4. Add coefficients to FD
- 5. End For
- 6. Return FD

Algorithm 1: ECT based feature extraction

As given in Algorithm 1, the features of given brain images are extracted and returned as a vector of FD for all given images. ECT is used to obtain coefficients of images. Thus a feature descriptor is generated for each image. This descriptor is crucial for building a knowledge model in training phase and used later in testing phase

# **3.2 PCA for Feature Selection**

The extracted curvelet coefficients or features for brain images are very high. There needs to be reduction in features for improving efficiency of classification. Otherwise, it leads to sub optimal performance. This is the significance of feature selection in the brain tumor detection methodology proposed in this paper. The features obtained in the feature extraction phase of the framework (Figure 2) are subjected to feature selection process. PCA is well established technique used for reducing number of features that are close to the problem in hand. PCA computes empirical mean using Eq. 2.

$$u[m] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X[m, n]$$
(2)

Afterwards, the deviations from the mean are computed as in Eq. 3.

(3)

$$B[M \times N]: B = X - u,$$

1

Afterwards a co-variance matrix is built as in Eq. 4.

$$C: C = \frac{1}{N} B. B^* \tag{4}$$

For the computed co-variance matrix, eigenvectors and corresponding eigenvalues are found as in Eq. 5.

$$C, D[P,q] = \lambda_m forp = q = m$$
 (5)  
Then rearrangement of the eigenvalues of eigenvectors is  
made as in Eq. 6.

$$\lambda_{1>}\lambda_{2>}\lambda_{3>}\dots \geq \lambda_n \tag{6}$$

Then feature vector is formed and filtered to have features that have highest eigenvalues. This will result in the features selected for building classifier. Algorithm 2 provides concisely the way feature selection takes place using PCA technique.

Algorithm:ECT based Feature Selection (ECT-FS)
Input: Feature descriptor vector for all images FD
Output: Feature descriptor with selected features FD'

- 1. Using FD compute empirical mean (Eq. 2)
- 2. Compute deviations from the mean (Eq. 3)
- 3. Generate covariance matrix (Eq. 4)
- 4. Obtain eigenvectors with corresponding values (Eq. 5)
- 5. Rearrange eigenvectors
- 6. Add vectors with highest eigenvalues to FD'
- 7. Return FD'

# Algorithm 2: ECT based feature selection

The feature descriptor vector produced by Algorithm 1 is used as input to the feature selection algorithm. Then the computation of empirical mean, deviations from mean, generation of covariance matrix, generating eigenvectors, rearranging them and finding only eigenvectors with highest eigenvalues are finally selected as features for training classifier.

# 3.3 ANN for Brain Tumor Diagnosis

Building ANN classifier is the final step in the training phase. The selected features FD' is used as input and it produces a knowledge model or brain tumor detection model. This model is used in the testing phase to label unlabelled brain tumor images. ANN is widely used mathematical model for solving complex problems such as OCR, medical image classification, object recognition and pattern recognition to mention few. A typical ANN with back propagation is presented in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Artificial Neural Network with Back Propagation

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



The ANN has input hidden and output layers. A transfer function known as log sigmoid function is explored in hidden layer while linear transfer function is employed in output layer. The training given to the network using FD' obtained in the feature selection phase produces a knowledge model or brain tumor detection model. While training the ANN, MSE is used as error related objective function. Once training is carried out, the testing phase is achieved by using the following algorithm.

Algorit	hm: ECT based ANN classification (ECT-ANN)
Input: ]	FD' (output of ECT-FS) based detection model,
testing s	set T
Output	: Labelling such as normal or abnormal
1.	For each img in T
2.	Apply ANN model
3.	Label img
4.	Add img to T'
5.	End For

6. Return T'

Algorithm 3: ECT based ANN for classification

The algorithm takes the outcome of the ECT-FS and also the training brain tumor images. The back propagation based ANN works to classify the given input images. The knowledge model is used to predict class labels for images. The classification of test images is made into normal and abnormal indicating the absence or presence of brain tumor. Thus the proposed framework is used for brain tumor diagnosis.

#### 4. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The environment used for experiments include a PC with Intel core i5 processor with 1.70GHz speed and 4 GB of main memory. MATLAB 9.4 (R2018a) is used for empirical study. Curvelab toolbox version 2.0 is used for extracting features from brain tumor images. A total of 160 MRI brain images are used for experiments. Out of them 20 normal and 140 abnormal images are there. In training set 112 abnormal images and 16 normal images are available. In testing set there are 28 abnormal images and 4 normal images. Figure 4 shows the dynamics of brain image dataset.



Figure 4: MRI brain image dataset details

After completion of the experiments, the proposed

framework is evaluated to know its effectiveness in supporting different algorithms for achieving brain tumor detection. The performance metrics used are accuracy, sensitivity and specificity. These metrics are based on the confusion matrix provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Comusión matrix				
	Ground Truth	Ground Truth		
	(correct	(wrong		
	prediction of	prediction of		
	brain tumor)	brain tumor)		
Result of				
CTANN-BTD				
framework	True Positive	False Positive		
(correct	(TP)	(FP)		
prediction of				
brain tumor)				
Result of				
CTANN-BTD	False Negative	True Negative		
(wrong prediction	(FN)	(TN)		
of intrusions)				

Table 1: Confusion matrix

Table 1 shows confusion matrix that helps to understand the meaning of True Positive (TP), False Positive (FP), True Negative (TN) and False Negative (FN). TP indicates correct classified positive brain tumor detection case. TN indicates correctly classified negative brain tumor detection case. FP represents incorrectly classified negative brain tumor detection case while FN indicates incorrectly classified positive brain tumor detection case. Based on TP, FP, TN and FN measures performance metrics like sensitivity, specificity and accuracy are derived. Sensitivity is nothing but true positive fraction which is computed as in Eq. 7.

$$sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$
(7)

It indicates the probability of a person to have brain tumor disease. In the same fashion, specificity denotes true negative fraction. It means, the sensitivity value indicates the probability of a person not having brain tumor disease. It is computed as in Eq. 8.

$$specificity = \frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$
(8)

Accuracy is indicates whether brain tumor diagnosis is correctly performed by the framework proposed. It is computed as in Eq. 9.

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$
(9)

#### **5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

This section provides evaluation of results of the proposed framework. As discussed in Section 4, the metrics like accuracy, specificity and sensitivity are used for evaluation.



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

	Brain Tumor Detection Performance		
Image Size	Sensitivity %	Specificity %	Accurac y %
256x256	96.4	100	96.9
128x128	96.4	100	96.9
64x64	92.8	100	93.7

 

 Table 2: Shows brain tumor detection performance of the CTANN-BTD framework

As shown in Table 2, the brain tumor detection performance for brain tumor images of different size is presented in terms of accuracy, specificity and sensitivity.



Figure 5: Performance of the framework in terms of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity

As shown in Figure 5, the sensitivity of brain tumor images of different size is evaluated. The results revealed that the proposed framework shows highest specificity.

Table 3: Shows average feature extraction tin	ne of
CTANN-BTD and existing method	

	Average Feature Extraction Time (sec)		
Image Size	Proposed system	Wavelet+PCA	
256x256	0.47253	0.312458	
128x128	0.173511	0.111235	
64x64	0.069054	0.021452	

As shown in Table 2, the average feature extraction timeof existing and proposed systems is presented for MRI image of different size.



Figure 6: Performance comparison

As shown in Figure 6, the images of different size are presented in horizontal axis while vertical axis shows performance value between 0.0 and 1.0. The results revealed that the proposed system has better performance over Wavelet + PCA method.

Table 4: Shows sensitivity shown	by images of different
size against no. of princip	al components

No. of		Sensitivity	
Principal	64x64	128x128	256x2256
Component			
10	0.4	0.3	0.2
20	0.5	0.4	0.3
30	0.65	0.56	0.6
40	0.78	0.66	0.7
50	0.9	0.8	0.8
60	0.92	0.95	0.95
70	0.92	0.95	0.95
80	0.92	0.95	0.95
90	0.92	0.95	0.92
100	0.95	0.85	0.81

As shown in Table 4, the sensitivity of images of different size against number of principal components.



Figure 7: Performance in terms of sensitivity

As shown in Figure 7, the number of principal components is presented in horizontal axis while vertical axis shows performance value between 0.0 and 1.0 representing sensitivity. The results revealed that the proposed system has better performance when number of principal components is increased.

Table 5: Shows specificity shown by images of different
size against no. of principal components

sine against not of principal components			
No. of	Specificity		
Principal	64x64	128x128	256x2256
Components			
10	0.87	0.86	0.85
20	0.88	0.88	0.87
30	0.87	0.9	0.89
40	0.89	0.93	0.92
50	0.92	0.94	0.95



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

60	0.94	0.97	0.97
70	0.94	0.97	0.97
80	0.94	0.97	0.98
90	0.92	0.96	0.95
100	0.9	0.95	0.94

As shown in Table 5, the specificity of images of different size against number of principal components.



Figure 8: Performance in terms of specificity

As shown in Figure 8, the number of principal components is presented in horizontal axis while vertical axis shows performance value between 0.0 and 1.0 representing specificity. The results revealed that the proposed system has better performance when number of principal components is increased.

 Table 6: Shows accuracy shown by images of different size against no. of principal components

No. of	Accuracy (%)		
Principal	64x64	128x128	256x2256
Component			
10	0.93	0.91	0.9
20	0.94	0.92	0.92
30	0.95	0.92	0.93
40	0.97	0.94	0.94
50	0.99	0.98	0.97
60	1	1	1
70	1	1	1
80	1	1	1
90	1	0.98	1
100	1	0.99	1

As shown in Table 5, the accuracy of images of different size against number of principal components.



Figure 9: Performance in terms of accuracy

As shown in Figure 9, the number of principal components is presented in horizontal axis while vertical axis shows performance value between 0.0 and 1.0 representing accuracy. The results revealed that the proposed system has better performance when number of principal components is increased.

### 6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we studied the problem of brain tumor detection. From the review of literature, it is understood that Curvelet Transform based approaches are less and there is need for efficient framework that is used to diagnose brain tumor from brain MRI images. Since curvelet transform technology is able to represent directional edges and contours. Therefore, it is understood to be an ideal candidate for representing brain tumor images. Enhanced Curvelet Transform (ECT) is used for the representation of the images. A framework knownas Curvelet Transform based ANN for Brain Tumor Detection (CTANN-BTD) is proposed. The framework has functionalities like feature extraction using ECT, feature selection using PCA technique and classification using ANN. Three algorithms are defined to achieve these functionalities. They are known as ECT-FE, ECT-FS and ECT-ANN for extracting features (curvelet coefficients) from brain images, feature selection to identify most relevant features and actual classification respectively. The proposed framework is evaluated with metrics like accuracy, sensitivity and specificity. The results revealed the usefulness of the proposed framework for brain tumor diagnosis. The framework also showed better performance when compared with state of the art. In future, we intend to explore deep learning along with neural network for more efficient detection of brain tumor from MRI images.

# REFERENCES

- A. Anbarasa Pandian and Dr. R. Balasubramanian. (2011). Performance Analysis of Texture Image Retrieval in Curvelet, Contourlet and Local Ternary Pattern using DNN and ELM Classifiers for MRI Brain tumor images. Springer, p1-11.
- Himanshi, Vikrant Bhateja, Abhinav Krishn and Akanksha Sahu. (2016). Medical Image Fusion in Curvelet Domain Employing PCA and Maximum Selection Rule. Springer, p1-10.
- S. Salcedo-Sanz, J. L. Rojo-Álvarez, M. Martínez-Ramón and G. Camps-Valls. (2014). Support vector machines in engineering: an overview. WIREs Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, p1-37.
- M. Srinivas, R.RamuNaidu, C.S.Sastry, C.KrishnaMohan. (2015).

Contentbasedmedicalimageretrievalusingdictionarylearning. Elsevier, p880–895.

- Y.V.Sri Varsha and S.Prayla Shyry. (2014). A Novel Approach for Identifying the Stages of Brain Tumor. International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology. 10 (2), p1-5.
- R. Karthik, R. Menaka and C. Chellamuthu. (2015). A comprehensive framework for classification of brain tumor images using SVM and curvelet transform. Int. J. Biomedical Engineering and Technology. 17 (2), p1-10.



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.



- Hongliang Xiao, Jian Wen, Lin Gao, Xiayang Xiao, Weilin Li and Can Li. (2016). Method of Tree Radar Signal Processing Based on Curvelet Transform. 39 (7), p243-250.
- Abhishek Raj, Alankrita, Akansha Srivastava and Vikrant Bhateja. (2011). Computer Aided Detection of Brain Tumor in Magnetic Resonance Images. IACSIT International Journal of Engineering and Technology. 3 (5), p1-10.
- Ramya and Kavitha Bai. (2016). A Novel Method of Brain Tumor Detection from MRI Images Using Fuzzy C Means Clustering and Neural Networks - A Survey. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering. 5 (3), p1-4.
- Dr. S. Suresh Babu. (2016). Analysis And Evaluation Of Brain Tumor Detection From MRI Using F-PSO And FB-K Means. International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology & Security. 6 (1), p1-9.
- 11. El-Sayed A. El-Dahshan, Heba M. Mohsen, Kenneth Revett and Abdel-Badeeh M. Salem. (2014). Computer-aided diagnosis of human brain tumor through MRI A survey and a new algorithm. Elsevier, p5526–5545.
- M. Arfan Jaffar, Abdulrahman Abdulkarim Mirza and Maqsood Mahmud. (2011). MR imaging enhancement and segmentation of tumor using fuzzy curvelet. International Journal of the Physical Sciences. 6 (31), p7242 - 7246.
- Priyanka S. Jadhav. (2015). Brain Tumor Detection using MRI A Review of Literature. International Journal of Innovations & Advancement in Computer Science. 4 (3), p1-6.
- Ankita Kaushal and Paramjeet Kaur. (2014). Curvelet and Wavelet Image Fusion using Neural Network Algorithm. International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technologies. 5 (6), p7508-7512.
- Himanshi, Vikrant Bhateja, Abhinav Krishn and Akanksha Sahu. (2016). Medical Image Fusion in Curvelet Domain Employing PCA and Maximum Selection Rule. IEEE, p1-10.
- 16. A. Anbarasa Pandian and R. Balasubramanian. (2015). Performance Analysis Of Texture Image Retrieval For Curvelet, Contourlet Transform And Local Ternary Pattern Using Mri Brain Tumor Image. International Journal in Foundations of Computer Science & Technology. 5 (6), p1-14.
- 17. K.Pradeep, S.Balasubramanian, Hemalatha Karnan and K.Karthick Babu. (2014). Segmentation of Fused CT and MRI Images with Brain Tumor. Asian Journal of Science and Applied Technology. 6 (1), p1-4.
- Akanksha Sharma. (2013). Review of CAD Techniques for Liver Tumor Detection. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering. 3 (10), p1-4.
- Muhammad R. Al kahlout. (2013). Brain Tumor Detection Based On Curvelet and Artificial Neural Network. Computer Engineering Department, p1-78.
- R.Bharathi and Dr.Divya Satish. (2015). Improving Mri Brain Tumor Classification And Segmentation Based On Comparison Technique. International Journal of Emerging Technology in Computer Science & Electronics. 13 (1), p1-10.
- SL Jany Shabu and Jayakumar. (2016). Detection of Brain Tumor by Image Fusion Using Genetic Algorithm. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences, p1-7.
- 22. (2015). Biomedical Image Segmentation and Statistical Texture Classification Techniques An Overview, p1-40.
- 23. Wei Liu, Siyuan Cao, Yangkang Chen and Shaohuan Zu. (2016). An effective approach to attenuate random noise based on compressive sensing and curvelet transform. Journal of Geophysics and Engineering, p1-13.
- 24. Laurent Demanet and Lexing Ying. (2007). Curvelets and Wave Atoms for Mirror-Extended Images. IEEE, p1-15.
- 25. C. Raju, T. Sreenivasulu Reddy and M. Sivasubramanyam. (2016). Denoising of Remotely Sensed Images via Curvelet

Transform and its Relative Assessment. Procedia Computer Science. 89, p771-777.

- Lexing Ying, Laurent Demanet and Emmanuel Cand'es. (2005). 3D Discrete Curvelet Transform. IEEE, p1-11.
- 27. Emmanuel J. Candes and Laurent Demanet. (2004). The Curvelet Representation of Wave Propagators is Optimally Sparse. IEEE, p1-44.
- Emmanuel J. Cand'es and David L. Donoho. (2002). Continuous Curvelet Transform: II. Discretization and Frames. IEEE, p1-22.
- Abdullah M. Hammouche ,Hazem M. El-Bakry ,Reham R. Mostafa . (2016). Image Contrast Enhancement Using Fast Discrete Curvelet Transform via Unequally Spaced Fast Fourier Transform (FDCT-USFFT) . International Journal of Electronics Communication and Computer Engineering . 7 (2), p1-6.
- Emmanuel J. Candes, David L. Donoho. (2002). New Tight Frames of Curvelets and Optimal Representations of Objects with C 2 Singularities. IEEE, p1-39.
- 31. Emmanuel Candes , Laurent Demanet. (2002). Curvelets and Fourier Integral Operators. IEEE, p1-9.
- Emmanuel J. Candes and Franck Guo. (2001). New Multiscale Transforms, Minimum Total Variation Synthesis: Applications to Edge-Preserving Image Reconstruction. IEEE, p1-36.
- Sloven Dubois, Renaud Péteri, Michel Ménard. (2013). Characterization and Recognition of Dynamic Textures based on 2D+T Curvelet Transform. IEEE, p1-13.
- 34. Jianwei Ma and Gerlind Plonka. (2010). The Curvelet Transform. IEEE SIGNAL PROCESSING MAGAZINE. 118, p1-16.
- Padmanjali A Hagargi, Dr.Shubhangi . (2018). Brain Tumor MR Image Fusion Using Most Dominant Features Extraction from Wavelet and Curvelet Transforms. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology. 5 (5), p1-6.
- 36. Tong Qiao, Jinchang Ren, Zheng Wang, Jaime Zabalza, Meijun Sun, Huimin Zhao, Shutao Li, Jón Atli Benediktsson, Qingyun Dai, and Stephen Marshall. (2015). Effective Denoising and Classification of Hyperspectral Images using Curvelet Transform and Singular Spectrum Analysis. IEEE, p1-16.
- Paul Hill,Alin Achim,Mohammed E. Al-Mualla, d David Bull. (2016). Contrast Sensitivity of the Wavelet, Dual Tree Complex Wavelet, Curvelet, and Steerable Pyramid Transforms. IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON IMAGE PROCESSING. 25 (6), p1-14.
- Hailong Sun, Hongye Wang, XinChen and Wei Zheng. (2016). The Application of Curvelet Transform in Noise Suppression of Airbornemagnetic Data. IEEE, p1-5.
- 39. Ao Zhang, Xianwen Gao. (2018). Fault diagnosis of sucker rod pumping systems based on Curvelet Transform and sparse multi-graph regularized extreme learning machine. International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems. 11, p428-437.
- 40. Xiao, Mingliang Xu. (2015). High quality multi-spectral and panchromatic image fusion technologies based on Curvelet transform. Elsevier. 159, p268-274.
- 41. Anca-Ioana Grapa, Raphael Meunier, Laure Blanc-Féraud, Georgios. (2018). Classification of the fibronectin variants with curvelets. IEEE, p1-5.
- Usama Sayed, Mahmoud A. Mofaddel and Marwa M. Abd-elgawad. (2016). Video Object Extraction using the Curvelet Transform. Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences. (2), p623-630.
- 43. Cuifeng Li, Huajie Ye, Jianbo Ye. (2016). Image Fusion Based on Curvelet Transform and Principal Component Analysis. IEEE, p1-5.

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) © Copyright: All rights reserved.

